

by 8 years of rest. The settlement agreement identifies 7 distinct grizzly bear security zones almost entirely on the original 19,400 acres of Class A lands in the Stillwater Block in the HCP, but also adds 2,300 acres in a new area in Coal Creek State Forest. The amended HCP would replace the 4-year active/8-year rest rotation with specific measures for restricting forest management activities to the denning season in these grizzly bear security zones. All motorized activities below 6,300 feet in elevation within the grizzly security zones would be allowed during the grizzly denning season and prohibited all year round above that elevation. The current HCP prohibits new permanent road construction on the original 19,400 acres of Class A lands. This measure would remain essentially the same under an amendment, but to incorporate the terms of the settlement agreement, it would be specifically applied to the seven grizzly security zones, including the additional 2,300 acres in the Coal Creek State Forest. Several other measures in the HCP for Class A lands would remain the same but be extended to the grizzly security zones. Other amendments specifically spell out measures that DNRC had committed to implement in the original HCP but were previously incorporated by reference from DNRC's Forest Management Administrative Rules of Montana.

The original HCP requires the DNRC to complete corrective actions at sites identified with high risk of sediment delivery in bull trout watersheds in the HCP plan area by 2027. As directed by the settlement agreement, the HCP would be amended to prioritize and complete such corrective actions in federally designated bull trout critical habitat in the Stillwater Block by 2024.

Lastly, over the past 5 years of HCP implementation, the Service and DNRC identified some commitment and procedural clarifications that would be incorporated into the HCP. These amendments would serve to help DNRC understand how to implement certain measures and would not entail any changes to the nature of the measures or how they affect the covered species.

Alternatives Analyzed in the Draft SEIS

The Draft SEIS considers the direct, indirect, and cumulative effects of the proposed action, including the proposed amended HCP's measures intended to avoid, minimize, and mitigate such impacts, and no-action alternatives. The proposed action entails issuing DNRC an amended permit authorizing additional take based on amendments to the HCP to add covered lands and incorporate terms of the settlement

agreement. The no-action alternative would include amending the HCP to incorporate the terms of the settlement agreement, which is legally required, but would not include adding lands or issuing an amended permit authorizing additional take.

The Service invites comments and suggestions from interested parties on the content of the Draft SEIS. In particular, information and comments regarding the following topics are requested:

1. The direct, indirect, or cumulative effects that implementation of either action alternative could have on the natural and human environment.
2. Whether or not the impact on various aspects of the natural and human environment have been adequately analyzed.
3. Any other information pertinent to evaluating the effects of the proposed action on the natural and human environment.

Role of the Environmental Protection Agency in the EIS Process

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is charged under section 309 of the Clean Air Act to review all Federal agencies' environmental impact statements (EISs) and to comment on the adequacy and acceptability of the environmental impacts of proposed actions in the EISs.

EPA also administers the database for EISs prepared by Federal agencies and provides notice of their availability in the **Federal Register**. The EIS database provides information about EISs prepared by Federal agencies, as well as EPA's comments concerning the EISs. All EISs are filed with EPA, which publishes a notice of availability each Friday in the **Federal Register**.

For more information, see <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html>. You may search for EPA comments on EISs, along with EISs themselves, at <https://cdxnodengn.epa.gov/cdx-enepa-public/action/eis/search>.

Public Comments

Written comments received become part of the public record associated with this action. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may request in your comment that we withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to

do so. We will not consider anonymous comments. All submissions from organizations or businesses and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses will be made available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Authority

We provide this notice under section 10(c) of the ESA (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations for incidental take permits (50 CFR 17.22) and NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4371 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations (40 CFR 1506.6; 43 CFR part 46).

Michael G. Thabault,

Assistant Regional Director—Ecological Services, Mountain-Prairie Region, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Lakewood, Colorado.

[FR Doc. 2017–18418 Filed 8–28–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

[RR02800000, 17XR0680A3, RX178689471000000]

Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation, California; Reopening of Comment Period

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice to reopen comment period.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is reopening the public comment period on the scope of the draft environmental impact statement (EIS) for the Shasta Dam Fish Passage Evaluation.

DATES: The comment period for the scope of the draft EIS published June 15, 2017 (82 FR 27522), is reopened. Comments should be received on or before September 28, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments to Ms. Carolyn Bragg, Natural Resources Specialist, Bureau of Reclamation, Bay-Delta Office, 801 I Street, Suite 140, Sacramento, CA 95814–2536; or by email to cbragg@usbr.gov; or via facsimile to (916) 414–2439.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carolyn Bragg, (916) 414–2433, or email at cbragg@usbr.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 15, 2017, Reclamation published a notice in the **Federal Register** announcing its intent to prepare a draft EIS. Since then, Reclamation has received a formal request from the

public requesting more time to comment on the scope of the draft EIS. This notice reopens that comment period for 30 days.

Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: July 27, 2017.

Travis B. Aberle,

Assistant Regional Director of Business Services.

[FR Doc. 2017-18267 Filed 8-28-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332-90-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

[RR02050400, 17XR0687NA, RX.18527901.3000000]

Central Valley Project Improvement Act Refuge Water Management Plans

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: To meet the requirements of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act of 1992 (CVPIA) and subsequent Department of the Interior administrative review process, the Bureau of Reclamation developed and published the Criteria for Developing Refuge Water Management Plans (Refuge Criteria). The 13 entities listed below each developed a Refuge Water Management Plan, which Reclamation evaluated and has preliminarily determined meets the requirements of the Refuge Criteria. Reclamation is publishing this notice to enable the public to review each plan and to comment on the preliminary determination. Public comment is invited at this time.

DATES: Submit written comments on the preliminary determinations on or before September 28, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Ms. Charlene Stemen, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-400, Sacramento, CA 95825; or via email at cstemen@usbr.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To be placed on a mailing list for any

subsequent information, please contact Ms. Charlene Stemen at cstemen@usbr.gov, or at 916-978-5218 (TDD 978-5608).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following Refuge Water Management Plans are available for review:

- San Luis National Wildlife Refuge
- Kern National Wildlife Refuge
- Merced National Wildlife Refuge
- Pixley National Wildlife Refuge
- Los Banos State Wildlife Area
- Volta State Wildlife Area
- North Grassland State Wildlife Area
- Mendota State Wildlife Area
- Grassland Resource Conservation District (GRCD)
- Delevan National Wildlife Refuge
- Colusa National Wildlife Refuge
- Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge
- Gray Lodge State Wildlife Area

The Refuge Criteria provides a common methodology, or standard, for efficient use of water by Federal Wildlife Refuges, State wildlife management areas, and resource conservation districts that receive water under provisions of the CVPIA. The Bureau of Reclamation, in coordination with the Interagency Refuge Water Management Team, determined that the CVPIA Refuge Water Management Plan Criteria for 2010 shall be used as guidance for the proposed 2015 Refuge Water Management Plans. The press release can be found at the following Web site: www.usbr.gov/newsroom/newsrelease/detail.cfm?RecordID=49568. The 2010/2015 Refuge Criteria can be found at the following Web site: <https://www.usbr.gov/mp/watershare/docs/2010-refuge-criteria.pdf>. A copy of these Refuge Water Management Plans will be available for review at Reclamation's Mid-Pacific Regional Office, 2800 Cottage Way, MP-400, Sacramento, CA 95825. If you wish to review a copy of these Water Management Plans, please contact Ms. Stemen.

Public Disclosure

Our practice is to make comments, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personally-identifying information in your comment, please be aware that your entire comment—including such identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. In your comment you may request us to withhold your personally-identifying information from public review; however, we cannot guarantee we will be able to do so.

Dated: August 21, 2017.

Richard J. Woodley,

Regional Resources Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. 2017-18269 Filed 8-28-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332-90-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

Public Meeting of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) is publishing this notice to announce that a Federal Advisory Committee meeting of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group (AMWG) will take place.

DATES: The meeting will be held on Wednesday, September 20, 2017, from 8:30 a.m. to approximately 5:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the DoubleTree by Hilton, 2100 South Priest Drive, Tempe, Arizona, 85282.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Katrina Grantz, Bureau of Reclamation, telephone (801) 524-3635; email at kgrantz@usbr.gov; facsimile (801) 524-3807.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting is being held under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552B, as amended), and 41 CFR 102-3.140 and 102-3.150.

Purpose of the Meeting: The Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program (GCDAMP) was implemented as a result of the Record of Decision on the Operation of Glen Canyon Dam Final Environmental Impact Statement to comply with consultation requirements of the Grand Canyon Protection Act (Pub. L. 102-575) of 1992. The AMWG makes recommendations to the Secretary of the Interior concerning Glen Canyon Dam operations and other management actions to protect resources downstream of Glen Canyon Dam, consistent with the Grand Canyon Protection Act. The AMWG meets two to three times a year.

Agenda: The AMWG will meet to approve the Fiscal Year 2018 Budget and Work Plan, and receive updates on: (1) Current basin hydrology and 2018 operations; (2) non-native fish issues; (3)